

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION N	O. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,861		04/13/2001	Zheng Fang	039362-0065	5580
24375	7590	03/11/2005		EXAMINER	
VOLPE A	AND KOE	NIG, P.C.	ANWAH	ANWAH, OLISA	
	PLAZA, SU	JITE 1600	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
30 SOUT	H 17TH ST	REET	2645	3-80-4311-5-81-38-31-31-31-31-31-31-31-31-31-31-31-31-31-	
PHILADI	ELPHIA, P.	A 19103	DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005	DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/834,861	FANG, ZHENG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Olisa Anwah	2645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	•					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ju	<u>ly 2004</u> .					
,	·					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,5,11,13 and 20-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-10,12,14-19 and 24</u> is/are reject	b)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-10,12,14-19 and 24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	200					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/834,861

Application/Contion Number: 09/034,00

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 6-10, 12, 14, 16-19 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Jin, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0037001 (hereinafter Jin).

Regarding claim 1, Jin discloses an apparatus (see Figure 1) comprising:

a consumer premises equipment (17 from Figure 1) configured to be connected with at least one of a selectable plurality of call agents (13 from Figure 1) in response to user input, each call agent using one of a plurality of call control languages;

Art Unit: 2645

wherein the CPE includes at least a first telephone jack that couples the CPE to a first subscriber telephone (24 from Figure 1) and a second telephone jack that couples the CPE to a second subscriber telephone (24 from Figure 1);

wherein the first subscriber telephone communicates with a first VoIP service provider (13 from Figure 1) using a first call agent and a first call control language, and the second subscriber telephone communicates with a second VoIP service provider (13 from Figure 1) using a second call agent and a second call control language that is different from the first call control language, the first and second subscriber telephones communicating concurrently (paragraphs 0007 and 0017).

Regarding claim 3, see Figure 1.

Regarding claim 6, see Figures 2 and 3.

Regarding claim 7, see paragraph 0017.

Regarding claim 8, see paragraph 0017.

Regarding claim 9, see Figure 1.

Regarding claim 10, see Figure 1.

Regarding claim 12, see Figure 1.

Claim 14 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Regarding claim 16, see paragraph 0017.

Regarding claim 17, see paragraph 0017.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,861

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 18, see paragraph 0017.

Regarding claim 19, see Figure 1.

Claim 24 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jin in view of La Porta et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,473,679 (hereinafter La Porta).

With respect to claim 2, Jin fails to teach the claimed provision server. All the same, La Porta discloses this limitation (see Figure 3). For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jin with the server taught by La Porta. This modification would have improved the user friendliness of Jin by allowing the user to save the selected service provider on a profile as suggested by La Porta.

Art Unit: 2645

Claim 15 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 2.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olisa Anwah whose telephone number is 703-305-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8.30 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 703-305-4895. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,861

Page 6

Art Unit: 2645

Ó. A ·

Olisa Anwah
Patent Examiner
February 16, 2005

FAN TRANG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600